

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| _ | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Г | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| | 09/741,216 | 12/20/2000 | Peter Beijbom | HW-5647 | 7653 | |
| | 7: | 590 03/13/2003 | | | | |
| | TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL, TUMMINO & SZABO L.L.P. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | 1111 LEADER BLDG., 526 SUPERIOR AVE. CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1400 | | MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA | | | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | • | 1764 | 4.7 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| RIAL NUMBER FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTURNEY DOCKET NO |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | EXAMINER |
| | | |
| | | |
| | ^ | RT UNIT PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 17 |
| | | |

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

| COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS | | |
|--|----------------------|--------|
| ADVISORY ACTION BEST AVAILAB | LE (| COPY |
| THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: | | |
| a) is extended to run 4 mos , or continues to run from the date of the final rejection | | |
| b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. | no | |
| Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 C 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. | | |
| Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). | | |
| Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 3/4/•3 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deen to place the application in condition for allowance: | ned- | |
| 1. The proposed amendmer: to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: | | |
| a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. | | |
| b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). | | |
| c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). | | |
| d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. | r | |
| e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | |
| NOTE: The proposed andto usual growske now 1/2 sejection for examples only to proper articles bare (i.e. hot position fails to provide proper articles bare (i.e. hot positively realist to a chart | <u>-</u> <u>-</u> | |
| 2. Newly proposed or adjended claims. Out of the boiling chamber. Newly proposed or adjended claims. Out of the non-allowable claims. Out of the non-allowable claims. Out of the non-allowable claims. | ing and | ne |
| 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: | | |
| Claims allowed: | | |
| Claims objected to: | | |
| However; | | |
| Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 3/1763 | _ | |
| 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because | _ | |
| | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. | , | |
| The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. The other Cont of Note Supers appears to broaden and is at odds a printing recition pipe means located between the flexible tainer and the outer container lunderlining supplied). All the next to propose the proposed in the process of the pro | inner | ~) |
| prol. 303 (REV. 5.89) 3 the planible and men containing supplied) fler le | jt. | , , |